In this review article, the authors give an overview of the currently available soft tissue and bony procedures in the treatment of the adult acquired flexible flatfoot. Instead of starting from the classification for posterior tibial tendon dysfunction, described by Johnson and Storm, the authors address the flatfoot from a more anatomical point of view. Based on this, they will try to define a treatment algorithm.
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INTRODUCTION

Flatfoot is described as a condition of the foot in which the medial longitudinal arch (MLA) of the foot has collapsed. Maintaining the longitudinal arch of the foot involves dynamic and static soft tissue structures, in addition to bony structures (10, 20, 29). Many investigators have focused on the dysfunction of the posterior tibial tendon (PTTD) as the etiological key to the flatfoot deformity (13, 18, 19, 23, 25). Over time, however, it has been questioned whether the PTTD is only part of the problem and may be the result of other initiating factors (5, 20).

Although the sequence of events that lead to a flatfoot is not completely understood, it is likely that many forces combine to exceed the physiologic limit of the static and dynamic restraints of the midfoot (20).

Appropriate treatment for patients with flatfoot deformity can become as complex as understanding the cause itself. The goal of treatment is to relieve pain and to correct the presenting deformity while disturbing the normal function and biomechanics of the foot as little as possible (21).

Traditionally, treatment options are defined for the four different stages of PTTD. We would like to approach the flatfoot from a more anatomical point of view. Based on the amount of hindfoot valgus, midfoot abduction and the height of the MLA, two different types of flatfoot can be distinguished. A first type is the pes planus, which is characterized by a lowered medial longitudinal arch (MLA), and more midfoot abduction than hindfoot valgus. A second type, the pes planovalgus foot, has a lowered MLA and a hindfoot valgus with or without abduction of the midfoot (Fig. 1).

The involvement of the Achilles tendon is also a very important part of the condition because a shortened Achilles tendon will accentuate the hindfoot...
valgus. When the hindfoot equinus is not addressed properly, any treatment is doomed to fail.

Every flatfoot has to be considered as a distinct entity, needs to be addressed in a different way and a thorough clinical examination is required to find out which structures fail and which do not.

The purpose of this article is to give an overview of the soft tissue procedures and bony procedures that can be used in the treatment of the flexible flatfoot. We will try to define a treatment algorithm based on the degree of MLA collapse, hindfoot valgus and midfoot abduction.

SOFT TISSUE PROCEDURES

1. Tibialis posterior tendon

The normal function of the PTT is to invert the subtalar (ST) joint, plantarflex the ankle and adduct the forefoot thereby elevating the MLA. The PTT is the primary dynamic stabilizer of the MLA (4,16,23,29,30). The normal antagonist of the PTT is the peroneus brevis (PB), which everts the ST joint and adducts the forefoot (4,10,16). With PTT dysfunction, the peroneus brevis will act as a deforming factor in the development of hindfoot valgus (eversion) and forefoot varus (abduction). As the collapse progresses, the spring- and deltoid ligament and the talonavicular capsule stretches. The valgus position of the hindfoot leads to an eversion force of the Achilles tendon on the calcaneus because its attachment is now lateral to the axis of the ST joint. In this position the Achilles tendon can shorten, leading to equinus deformity (4,10,16,23,26,29,30).

The cause of PTT dysfunction is most likely degeneration, although traumatic, anatomic, mechanical, and tendon vascularity factors seems all to be involved (10,25,26,29,30).

Johnson and Storm (13) proposed a staging system for PTTD. In stage I, the tendon is inflamed without tendon elongation or clinical deformity. Pain and swelling are located medially. The patient is able to do a single-heel rise. Stage II is marked by tendon elongation, and degeneration. This causes a planovalgus alignment of the hindfoot, which is flexible. The pain is present medial, lateral or both. The patient has difficulties performing a single-heel rise. In stage III, the tendon is ruptured and the hindfoot deformity is fixed. The patient is unable to do a single-heel rise test. The pain is now located lateral due to subtibial impingement. Myerson (22) added a stage IV, in which there is a fixed deformity and valgus tilting of the talus at the ankle joint (10,13,21,22,26,29,30).

1.1. Debridement of the tibialis posterior tendon

Debridement of the posterior tibial tendon (PTT) may diminish the pain, but it will not improve function because it does not address the concurrent bone and ligamentous deformities (20,29). Therefore, it is indicated in case of mild inflammation of the tendon without deformity of the hindfoot and midfoot (21,26,29). In general, debridement is routinely performed during repair or augmentation of the PTT (26,29).

1.2. Augmentation of the tibialis posterior

In most cases the dysfunctional PTT needs to be strengthened or augmented after debridement to improve its function. If the tendon is elongated but intact, it can be strengthened by imbrication or
raphy, or by advancement to the navicular bone (29).
In case of severe dysfunction or after severe debride-
ment, the tendon has to be strengthened by an-
other tendon, performing a tendon transfer.

1.2.1. Tendon procedures to augment the tibialis poste-
rior tendon

Planning a tendon transfer, one must consider the
relation of the tendons to the biomechanical axes of
the ankle and subtalar joint, the various strength of
the individual tendons and the phasic activity of the
muscle – whether or not the muscle is active during
the swing phase or stand phase (4). The PTT, FHL
and FDL are active during stance phase whereas the
tibialis anterior tendon is active during swing phase.
The tendency is to use a like-phase tendon for trans-
fer or augmentation. A non-phasic tendon transfer
will usually function like a tenodesis (4).

Tendon procedures, both tenodesis and transfers,
are used to augment the hindfoot inversion and the
forefoot adduction. They will improve pain and
function of the medial soft tissues, but they are not
able to correct neither the hindfoot valgus nor the
collapse of the MLA. Therefore, soft tissue proce-
dures needs to be combined with bony proce-
dures (4,10,21,23).

1.2.2. Which tendons can be used and why ?

Because the PTT is the strongest invertor of the
foot and supporter of the MLA, there is no perfect
transfer candidate (29) (Table I).

Flexor Digitorum Longus tendon

The flexor digitorum longus is most frequently
used because it is adjacent to the PTT, it is the most
expedable of the flexor tendons, and it provides the
least donor deficits (21,29). Although the PTT is
3.5 times stronger than the FDL, the FDL is similar
in size to the PB and well suited to oppose the PB,
which is only 1.5 times stronger (4,11,18,21,29).

Several techniques are described to use the FDL.
A side-to-side tenodesis of the PTT to the FDL is
indicated when the PTT is elongated and attenuated
but intact (21). The FDL-to-navicular bone transfer
was popularized by Mann and Thompson : the FDL
is tenotomized as distal as possible, the stump of the
FDL is brought into the navicular from plantar to
dorsal and if possible sutured onto itself. The prox-
imal part of the FDL can be tenodesed with the
PTT (19,21). The FDL can also be transferred to the
medial cuneiform. Although the navicular bone is
the anatomic insertion of the PTT, the transfer to the
medial cuneiform is believed to increase the lever
arm of the transfer and thereby more efficiently in-
vert the foot (19,21) (Fig. 2).

Flexor Hallucis Longus tendon

Although the FHL is much stronger than the
FDL, it is only half as strong as the PTT. However,
the FHL is stronger than the peroneus brevis so it
will be able to correct the midfoot abduction (11,29).
It is active during stance phase and the proximity to
the biomechanical axis is comparable to the PTT.

The FHL is harvested at the knot of Henry, dis-
tally sutured to the FDL and divided proximal to
this anastomosis. The divided FHL is pulled prox-
urally through its tunnel, and then needs to be re-
routed anterior to the neurovascular bundle and into
the PTT sheath (11). Like the FDL, the FHL can be
transferred to the navicular or the medial cuneiform.
The major disadvantage in using the FHL is its
proximity to the neurovascular bundle. Furthermore
it can cause less push-off force of the hallux during
gait (11,29).

Tibialis Anterior tendon (Cobb tendon proce-
dure)

The use of the tibialis anterior to augment the
PTT is described by Cobb and Helal. This proce-
dure uses half of the TA harvested through a sepa-
rate proximal pretibial incision at his musculo-
tendinous junction. The tendon is splitted distally
and reinserted to the medial cuneiform. It is then
rerouted through the medial cuneiform from anteromedial to plantar-lateral and attached to the
proximal stump of the PTT. This technique seems
to be more able to restore the medial arch, but has
less potential in restoring the hindfoot valgus.
Because only half of the tibialis anterior tendon is
harvested there is no obvious weakness of ankle
dorsiflexion afterwards (16).

Table I. — Tendons to augment PTT: advantages and disadvantages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tendons</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FDL</td>
<td>Same distance from axis of rotation</td>
<td>Only 1/3 as strong as PTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Most expendable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Closest proximity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Easy to harvest</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Opposes the abduction force of peroneus brevis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Can correct MLA and midfoot abduction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Least donor deficits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHL</td>
<td>Same distance from axis of rotation</td>
<td>50% strength og PTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Opposes abduction force of PB better than FDL</td>
<td>proximity to NV bundle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Can correct MLA and midfoot abduction</td>
<td>difficult to harvest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PB</td>
<td>Reduces the abduction force</td>
<td>loss of push-off force hallux</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>Comparable in strength with PTT</td>
<td>Can contribute to more flattening MLA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA</td>
<td>Acts as a substitute for springligament</td>
<td>Non-phasic tendon: active in swing phase and not in stance phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Able to restore MLA</td>
<td>Less potential in restoring hindfoot valgus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Peroneus brevis and peroneus longus tendon

The peroneus longus is both a first-ray plantar flexor and a major forefoot abductor (31). Its strength is comparable with the PTT, but losing its plantar flexion strength on the first metatarsal base could contribute to the flattening of the MLA. Thereby, it is less useful in flatfoot surgery (29).

The peroneus brevis tendon (a strong abductor and evertor) as a tendon transfer for the PTT would reduce the abduction deformity force on the forefoot. However, the PB would not be able to provide sufficient inversion strength to the hindfoot because it would traverse closer to the axis of rotation of the ST joint than the PTT (29). Furthermore, because its location on the lateral side of the leg, it needs to be rerouted from lateral to medial which may damage the neurovascular bundle (29). It has its limited use in revision surgery or in case the flexors are not usable (29).

1.2.3. What to do with the diseased posterior tibial tendon in case of a tendon transfer?

After assessing the PTT there may be a tendency to leave the PTT in place or to suture it side-to-side to the donor tendon (18). According to Mann, this is not useful because it creates an ongoing reaction in the area where the transfer was carried out, which results in scarring and persisting synovitis. He advocates to release the diseased PTT from its insertion into the navicular bone and cut it proximally at the level of the medial malleolus, allowing the tendon to retract completely out of the area of the operative field (18).

Others recommend not to remove the diseased tendon. According to a study of Valderrabano in 2004 the recovery potential of the PTT was significant even after delayed repair of a diseased tendon. They concluded that it is better not to transect the PTT (10,33) (Fig. 2C, G).

2. Achilles tendon/gastrocnemius complex

In most flatfeet, especially in the severe ones, there is a contributing shortening of the gastrosoleus complex. Tightness of the gastrosoleus should be tested pre- and peroperatively with the knee extended and in 90° of flexion. If the ankle can only be dorsiflexed with the knee in flexion but not in extension, a gastrocnemius recession is advised. If there is no capacity to dorsiflex the ankle, neither in extension or flexion of the knee, an AP lengthening is
3. Spring ligament

The springligament complex consists of a larger, superomedial calcaneonavicular ligament that is adjacent to the PTT and a smaller, inferior calcaneonavicular ligament. Studies have shown that failure of the PTT may cause damage to the springligament as a result of increased stress. If the springligament is involved the most significant pathology is seen in its superomedial calcaneonavicular part. The ligament can be stretched or ruptured. Therefore, the springligament should be evaluated during surgery and if it is damaged, an augmentation is advocated. The attenuated complex can be imbricated with sutures or advanced and secured with small suture anchors in the navicular. In a cadaveric study, advancement by the deltoid proved to be clinically disappointing secondary to probable attenuation of the graft. A technique using a free Achilles graft as a stronger substitute was described, but no long-term follow up has been reported. Reconstruction of the springligament complex corrects the flatfoot in cadavers but has not been studied clinically.

4. Deltoid ligament

The deltoid ligament complex has been described as having 6 separate ligaments and deep superficial layers. The anterior portion has a confluence of fibers with the springligament complex. The deltoid prevents external rotation of the talus in the mortise and prohibits abduction of the ankle. Only limited studies are published on reconstruction of the deltoid ligament, either by imbrication or by using tendon grafts to correct the talar tilt. It is not known whether reconstruction of the deltoid, and if so how much reconstruction, contributes to the success of the procedure.

BONY PROCEDURES

The ideal bony procedure to treat a flexible flatfoot realigns the hindfoot and corrects the deformity. It should also decrease the strain on the medial ligaments and finally is should protect the reconstructed medial soft tissues (Table II).
1. Medial calcaneal osteotomy

The medial calcaneal osteotomy affects the foot dynamics in multiple ways. When the hindfoot swings into valgus, the insertion of the Achilles tendon on the calcaneal tuberosity comes to lie lateral to the longitudinal axis of the ankle, giving a constant valgus deformity force on the hindfoot. This will be removed by bringing the calcaneal tuberosity more medial (21,26,32). Medialization of the calcaneal tuberosity also lessens the eversion and abduction force of the peroneal longus and brevis tendon. This reduction in force may allow the peroneal longus to plantar flex the first ray and support and stabilize the MLA. By reducing the eversion force it decompresses the impingement between the distal fibula and calcaneus (21,32,34). Finally MCO will shorten the springligament and will reduce the stress on the deltoid ligament, thereby protecting the medial soft tissues from excessive strain or failure (21,32).

MCO is indicated in patients with a flexible hindfoot valgus deformity and minimal forefoot varus. MCO is not indicated as an isolated procedure; it should always be combined with a soft tissue procedure (1,23,34).

The technique was popularized by Myerson and colleagues. They described the medial calcaneal displacement osteotomy and adjunctive FDL transfer for the treatment of an adult acquired flatfoot (22,23,32). The posterior calcaneal tuberosity is osteotomized at a 45° angle to the plantar aspect of the hindfoot at a right angle to the lateral border of the calcaneus. No wedge is removed from the calcaneus and no attempt is made to tilt the tuberosity into varus. The posterior fragment is translated medial by 7 to 10 mm (10,21,32) (Fig. 3A).

There are other techniques described, but they are less popular. The rotation displacement osteotomy reported by Rose removes a piece of spongy bone, triangular in cross section. This allows a medial displacement and a varus angulation of the posterior fragment to realign the insertion of the Achilles tendon (16,32) (Fig. 3B).

The crescentic calcaneal osteotomy described by Jacobs shifts the posterior calcaneal fragment medially and plantarly (12,32).

Wedge osteotomies such as an opening wedge osteotomy at the lateral side of the body of the calcaneus or a closing wedge osteotomy (reversed Dwyer osteotomy) at the medial side of the calcaneus are also recorded in the literature but they are less frequently used (32) (Fig. 3C, D).

There are few complications described with MCO. Nonunion is extremely rare. Injury to the sural nerve is possible through the lateral skin

Table II. — Osteotomies – advantages and disadvantages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Does correct</th>
<th>Does not correct</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MCO</td>
<td>• Hindfoot valgus</td>
<td>• Midfoot abduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• MLA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Valgus force caused by pull AP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Abduction/eversion by PL/PB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Pull of PL: more plantar flexion first ray</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lateral impingement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• More shortening springligament and deltoid ligament</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCL</td>
<td>• Midfoot abduction</td>
<td>• Hindfoot valgus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• MLA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• TN joint by improving talar head coverage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Stretching on PL: more plantar flexion fist ray</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Derotation of calcaneum: supination of the midfoot</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double</td>
<td>• MLA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Hindfoot valgus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Midfoot abduction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Tension TN joint</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
incision, injury to the medial neurovascular structures can occur when the medial cortex is cut. The sharp edge of the medialized posterior fragment can cause peroneal tendon irritations (34).

2. Lateral column lengthening

Lengthening of the lateral column (LCL) restores the MLA. Several hypotheses are proposed to explain the mechanism by which the correction occurs. With lengthening of the lateral column, the tension of the plantar fascia and peroneus longus is increased. Through a windlass effect, this will lead to elevation of the MLA and correction of the valgus deformity (8,10,21). Other authors dispute this theory and showed that LCL results in loosening of the plantar fascia (10,21). The goal of LCL is a 3-dimensional correction by adducting the foot at the TN joint, plantarflexing the midfoot and derotating the hindfoot out of valgus (15,28,33).

The lateral column can be lengthened by a calcaneal osteotomy or by a calcaneocuboid joint arthrodesis.

Evans initially described a lateral calcaneal opening wedge osteotomy 1.5 cm proximal to the calcaneocuboid joint (6,8,21,26,32). There is no consensus in the literature concerning the starting point of the osteotomy. Starting positions ranging from 5 to 15 mm proximal to the CC joint are described. An anatomical study by Raines and Brage however proved that a 10 mm interval proximal to the CC joint is the optimal position: it provides the best opportunity to avoid damage to the anterior and middle facet of the subtalar joint (21,27). A more
Distraction arthrodesis of the calcaneocuboid joint has the advantages that the concern of degenerative disease at the CC joint is eliminated (10,21). The disadvantages of this procedure are the risk for degenerative arthrosis in the adjacent joints as well as a higher non-union rate than the Evans procedure (21).

Lateral column lengthening is indicated in patients with midfoot abduction, flexible valgus deformity of the hindfoot, reduced or absent strength in inversion of the foot and minimal or no subtalar arthritis (2,17,28). It is important to notice that, although LCL corrects midfoot abduction, it does not correct forefoot varus. This medial column deformity, when noted, should be addressed by a concomitant medial column stabilization (17).

3. Double osteotomy

Combining a LCL with a MCO in a so-called double osteotomy, all the components of a planovalgus foot can be addressed. The LCL will restore the height of the MLA and the midfoot abduction whereas the MCO will restore the hindfoot valgus and reduce the valgus deformity force of the Achilles tendon (8,21,26,32). The combination of both osteotomies appears to reduce tension in the talonavicular and subtalar joints, while obtaining correction of bone alignment. It tends to restore the foot biomechanics towards normal, especially in combination with tendon transfers (21).

4. Medial column procedures

Numerous procedures are described to address residual forefoot varus after correction of a flexible flatfoot. Although these procedures were originally used in the reconstruction of forefoot adduction and residual clubfeet in children and adolescents, they have proved their utility in the treatment of the forefoot varus component in adult acquired flatfeet (2,30). These techniques are not indicated in isolation, but as a concomitant procedure to correct the forefoot varus in a flexible flatfoot deformity (2,21).

An opening wedge medial cuneiform osteotomy to elevate the depressed MLA in pes planus was described by Cotton. The procedure is indicated when

![Fig. 4. - Lateral column lengthening (Evans procedure). The calcaneus is osteotomized 10 mm proximal to the calcaneocuboid joint. A tricortical iliac crest graft is placed in the osteotomy site laterally.](image-url)
there is significant forefoot varus without degeneration of the first TMT joint (2,30). Typically, a 4 to 6 mm opening wedge correction with an interpositional graft or specially designed plate is necessary (2).

An arthrodesis is indicated when there is joint degeneration. Loss of the MLA may occur at the talonavicular, naviculocuneiform, or first metatarsocuneiform joint. Stabilization of the medial column affects the hindfoot motion; the more proximal the medial column is stabilized, the more hindfoot motion is reduced. TMT 1 or NC arthrodesis will hardly reduce motion of the hindfoot/subtalar joints, whereas a TN arthrodesis will reduce hindfoot motion by approximately 80 to 90% (2,21,30). Therefore, a TN arthrodesis is less indicated in the treatment of a flexible flatfoot. A naviculocuneiform arthrodesis is done when there is residual forefoot varus secondary to severe instability or arthritis at this joint (2,14). A first tarsometatarsal arthrodesis is indicated when there is significant forefoot varus due to degenerative change in the first TMT joint (2).

**DISCUSSION**

Treatment of ‘a flatfoot’ starts with a thorough clinical examination. The foot should be examined in both weight-bearing and non-weight bearing positions. A patient with a flexible flatfoot will have a (near-) normal arch when non-weight bearing, but will have substantial loss of height of the arch when weight bearing. The hindfoot is observed from behind, with the patient standing. Hindfoot alignment is determined with the midline of the calf. Normally, the alignment is 5 to 10° valgus. Both midfoot and forefoot are observed in standing position, the midfoot is observed for the presence of abduction, the forefoot is observed for the presence of supination. From a posterior aspect, normally only one or two lateral toes are visible. Seeing more toes (“too-many-toes” sign) is often caused by pes planus.

Standing radiographs are performed to quantitatively describe the deformity. They allow to define the extend of the deformity.

Hindfoot valgus can be measured by the talocalcaneal angle. The lateral talocalcaneal is he angle formed by the intersection of the line bisecting the talus with the line along the axis of the calcaneus on lateral weight-bearing views. The normal range is 25-45°. An angle over 45° indicates hindfoot valgus (Fig. 5). The AP talocalcaneal angle is the angle between the long axis of the calcaneus and the long axis of the talus. The normal range is 15-30°. An angle greater than 30° indicates hindfoot valgus (Fig. 6).

Standing radiographs are performed to quantitatively describe the deformity. They allow to define the extend of the deformity.

A measurement that is useful for evaluating pes planus on AP views is the lateral subluxation of the naviculocuneiform joint. Stabilization of the medial column affects the hindfoot motion; the more proximal the medial column is stabilized, the more hindfoot motion is reduced. TMT 1 or NC arthrodesis will hardly reduce motion of the hindfoot/subtalar joints, whereas a TN arthrodesis will reduce hindfoot motion by approximately 80 to 90% (2,21,30). Therefore, a TN arthrodesis is less indicated in the treatment of a flexible flatfoot. A naviculocuneiform arthrodesis is done when there is residual forefoot varus secondary to severe instability or arthritis at this joint (2,14). A first tarsometatarsal arthrodesis is indicated when there is significant forefoot varus due to degenerative change in the first TMT joint (2).
Fig. 6. — AP talocalcaneal angle. This angle is formed by the intersection of a line bisecting the head and neck of the talus and a line running parallel with the lateral surface of the calcaneus. The normal range is 15-30°. An angle > 30° indicates hindfoot valgus. A: normal AP talocalcaneal angle; B: increased AP talocalcaneal angle indicating hindfoot valgus.

Fig. 7. — Talonavicular coverage angle. Two lines are drawn, one connecting the edges of the articular surface of the talus, and one connecting the edges of the articular surface of the navicular. The angle is formed by the intersection of these axes. An angle > 7° indicates lateral talar subluxation. A: normal talonavicular coverage angle; B: increased talonavicular coverage angle indicating midfoot abduction.

Fig. 8. — CYMA line. Line between talonavicular joint and calcaneocuboid joint. A: normal AP and lateral cyma line: line is smooth and continuous; B: broken cyma line on AP and lateral view.
The tendon that should be used to augment the PTT depends on the location of the collapse of the MLA. We feel that when the collapse is predominantly located proximally, at the talonavicular joint, the FDL is best used. If the collapse is predominantly located distally, at the naviculocuneiform joint, the FHL is best used, because it can be harvested more distally.

Residual forefoot varus can be addressed by a medial column procedure. Depending on the affected joint and the presence/absence of joint degeneration a medial cuneiform osteotomy, a NC arthrodesis or a TMT 1 arthrodesis can be added to the above procedures.

**CONCLUSION**

The condition ‘flatfoot’ encompasses a wide range of deformities, depending on the degree of deformity in soft tissue and bony structures of the MLA, hindfoot and midfoot. Although treatment algorithms are usually defined for the different stages of PTTD, we would like to approach the flatfoot from a more anatomical point of view. Therefore treatment should start with a thorough clinical examination to define the deformity in each of these components.

Most authors agree that reconstruction of the soft tissues alone can temporarily resolve pain at the medial side of the foot, but does not correct the deformity (24). Soft tissue procedures therefore need to be combined with bony procedures in an attempt to give long lasting correction of both pain and deformity (4,10,21,23).

More research is needed to fully understand the significance of the forces that combine to create a flatfoot deformity. As our knowledge and experience grows, more options will be available and maybe this will lead to more defined treating algorithms.

**REFERENCES**


