Glomus tumour of the deltoid muscle. A case report
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INTRODUCTION

Glomus tumours are usually located in the finger tips, with the subungual location being the most common. They are vascular in origin, and are characterized by pressure tenderness and cold hypersensitivity (1,5).

Glomus tumours around the shoulder are very rare: seven cases have been reported up to date (1). We present a further case, which developed in the deltoid muscle.

CASE REPORT

A 71-year-old male patient was referred with posterior shoulder pain. His symptoms had started 6 months previously, after he was involved in a traffic accident. After an unsuccessful trial of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory medication considering soft tissue injury, he consulted at the Pain Clinic for further treatment. A mass was noted in the related area, magnetic resonance images were obtained and a lesion was detected in the posterior deltoid muscle.

The patient then consulted in orthopaedic surgery. He presented with a tender mass at his right posterior shoulder. The lesion was very sensitive to touch and cold exposure aggravated the symptoms. In his physical examination, a tender mobile mass of about 2.5 × 4 centimeters in size was palpable in the posterior deltoid. There was no sign of infection.

Laboratory examinations and radiographs were completely normal. Magnetic resonance imaging demonstrated a 3 × 2 × 2.5 cm mass in the posterior deltoid muscle, which was hypointense in T1A.
and hyperintense in the other sequences (fig 1A, 1B).

Considering the patient’s age, a bone scintigraphy, lung CT and abdominopelvic ultrasonography were obtained in order to rule out any metastatic lesions. They were all clear and the patient was prepared for excisional biopsy after obtaining written informed consent.

**Surgery**

Under general anaesthesia and with the patient lying in the left decubitus position, a longitudinal incision was made directly over the palpable lesion in the posterior deltoid. After the fascia was opened, the lesion was removed with a cuff of muscle about 2 cm thick around it. It was made certain that the axillary nerve was not involved in the surgical field. The specimen was then transferred to the pathology department. The patient was completely free of pain at suture removal.

**Pathology**

A well-circumscribed encapsulated neoplasm composed of small, rounded cells with eosinophilic cytoplasm was examined. In focal areas, perivascular neoplastic cells were seen (fig 2A). There were no necrotic areas and no atypical mitoses (one mitosis per 50 × high magnification fields). Immunohistochemical studies were performed; the antibodies included were anti-cytokeratin, anti-smooth muscle actin, anti-pancytokeratin, anti-desmin, anti-S100 and anti-CD34. No staining for S-100, cytokeratin, desmin and CD34 protein was observed. The tumour cells were diffusely positive for smooth muscle actin (fig 2B). These features confirmed the diagnosis of glomus tumour.

**DISCUSSION**

Glomus tumours are well known for their digital localization and painful nature, and a delay in
diagnosis is almost warranted when localized elsewhere in the body. The cases reported in literature indicate that these tumours have gone undiagnosed clinically for many years until a biopsy was done to establish a histological diagnosis (1,3,4).

The current case, besides being the 8th case reported to our knowledge, has some points that merit discussion. First of all, the patient’s history revealed that the lesion was relatively recent when compared with those in literature. The usual history is one of a painful area lasting for years, resistant to any modes of conservative treatment. In most of the other neoplastic conditions, it is not uncommon that a traumatic event makes the patient first notice an otherwise longstanding lesion. However, since glomus tumours are painful, this would not be the case in this patient.

A benign tumour would seldom, if ever, reach this size in a period of 6 months. Malignant degeneration might have been a meaningful explanation, if the pathologic examination had documented any atypical changes but the lesion was completely benign histologically. However, Folpe et al documented that glomus tumours which were deeply located and larger than 2 cm in size, especially with coexistence of atypical mitotic figures, should be considered as malignant (2). So, we preferred a wide margin for excision, considering this report and the patient’s history of recent growth of the tumour.

Localization in the deltoid muscle is another remarkable point of this case. Although a previous site in the deltoid tuberosity area has been reported, we are not aware of any case reported to be in the muscle substance (1).

Dramatic recovery of pain after surgery indicates that glomus tumours should be considered in the differential diagnosis of painful masses, so that early intervention may avoid unnecessary suffering for years.
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