Lengthening beyond 25% of the original segment length is an orthopaedic challenge. Substantial lengthening is fraught with complications such as joint contractures, refractures, nerve injuries and prolonged periods of consolidation. We report a case of massive lengthening in a 17-year-old boy in whom a 20 cm lengthening (57% lengthening) and complex deformity correction was performed on a centralized fibula using the Ilizarov technique, with an excellent result. A total of 17 months were taken to complete the process of lengthening and consolidation at a healing index of 0.85 months/cm. Besides a minor pin tract infection, there were no major complications. We believe that with meticulous pre operative planning and follow-up the extent of lengthening can be extended in cases of severe limb length discrepancy.
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INTRODUCTION

In countries where osteomyelitis is still a common disease, it is not uncommon to find limbs with complex deformities and major length discrepancies. Major defects in the tibia have traditionally been salvaged by Huntington’s procedure of fibular centralization. The procedure however does not address the problems of limb length discrepancy, as a result of which the final limb function may be well short of the optimum goal. Ilizarov’s technique has produced satisfactory results by not only centralizing the fibula but also taking care of the limb length discrepancy and associated deformities. Attempts made at substantial lengthening have produced a number of complications (3). While most authors agree that the goals of lengthening should be restricted to 20 to 25% of the initial segment length (6,10,13,14), there are reports of satisfactory results achieved in cases of lengthening beyond 20% also (9,16,17). In some patients the desired length gain needed to maximize the limb function may be substantial. We report such a substantial lengthening and multi-planar deformity correction in a centralized fibula using Ilizarov’s technique, with an excellent result.

CASE REPORT

A 17-year-old student consulted for treatment of a severely deformed right leg with major shortening. The patient had an attack of multi-focal...
osteomyelitis at the age of 9 years. Over the years he had multiple abscess drainages, and was left with a stiff left hip and a severely deformed right leg. Huntington’s procedure for centralization of the right fibula had been performed at the age of 11 years. At the time of presentation to our clinic, the patient had an ankylosed left hip and a deformed right leg. On examination a total shortening of 22 cm was calculated with a contribution of 4 cm and 18 cm respectively for the femur and tibia (fig 1). There was a full range of motion at the right hip and knee with no movements at the ankle. The radiograph of the right leg revealed a well-hypertrophied tibialized fibula, however with multi-planar deformities comprising of varus, procurvatum, internal rotation at the proximal end, and the ankle was fused with a varus deformity at the distal end of the centralized fibula (fig 2).

Pre-operative assessment and Planning

Radiographs of the whole limb were taken to assess the deformities and the deranged mechanical axis. An oblique plane deformity at the proximal end comprised of a 45° procurvatum and 30° varus. At the distal end a varus deformity of 20° was found with a fused ankle. The centres of angulations of the deformities were identified in the tibialised fibula (CORA) (fig 2). Osteotomy and hinge construction was planned in such a way as to achieve correction of the deformity and translation to realign the mechanical axis.

Surgery was performed under spinal anaesthesia. After fixing the frame to the centralised fibula using olive wires appropriately, two low-energy corticotomies were performed at the proposed sites already identified (fig 3). The patient was ambulated on the first postoperative day and distraction started on the 5th postoperative day. Once the desired deformity correction was achieved, the hinged rods were replaced by straight graduated rods and lengthening started at both sites at the rate of 0.25 mm four times a day (fig 4). The lengthening procedure was completed in five months. At this time a de-rotation assembly was added to the frame to correct the internal rotation deformity between the distal rings.
After length equalization and deformity correction the frame was left in place till consolidation of the regenerate (fig 5). During the whole process of treatment the patient was encouraged to do physiotherapy and weight bearing as tolerated. A total of 20 cm length was achieved in the centralized fibula (fig 6). The patient retained excellent range of motion of the ipsilateral knee (fig 7). It took a total of 17 months for the consolidation of the regenerate and removal of the fixator (fig 8). At the fourth year of follow-up the patient is very happy with the end result of the procedure. Apart from minor pin tract infection, there were no major complications.

**DISCUSSION**

The deformity in our patient was rated as type 5 according to the classification of Dahl et al (2), with one associated greater risk factor of multi site deformity and many complications were therefore anticipated. However the lengthening and deformity correction was achieved without any major complication. The achievement of the desired lengthen-
ing of 20 cm (57% of the original segment length) and simultaneous multiple deformity correction at a healing index of 0.85 months/cm in this patient was remarkable. Most studies in the literature and our own experience reveal modest gains in length (1,4,5,8,11,12,15). Attempts at more substantial lengthening are associated with a number of complications such as joint contractures, nerve palsies, regenerate fractures and long periods of consolidation. Hantes et al (7) observed serious complications in lengthening beyond 30%. To avoid serious complications, Danziger et al (3) suggested simultaneous tibial and femoral lengthening for patients requiring extensive lengthening. However Dahl et al (2) found the complication rate to drop significantly with increasing experience of lengthening. There are reports of substantial length gains also. Using Ilizarov’s technique Javid et al (9) achieved an excellent result in lengthening a centralised fibula in congenital tibial hemimelia. A total of 15 cm length was achieved at
a healing index of 0.87 months/cm. The better healing index in our case as well as that of Javid et al (10) could be debated on the basis of the high osteogenic potential of the fibula and bifocal lengthening in our patient. The simultaneous deformity correction is a definite advantage with the Ilizarov technique. The ring fixator allows deformity correction in multiple planes. We believe that a meticulous pre operative planning and a keen and watchful follow-up were responsible for the excellent result in our patient. We agree with Yun et al (17) that the accepted limits of lengthening can be extended in patients with severe deformities.
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