Obesity does not necessarily affect the accuracy of acetabular cup implantation in total hip replacement
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INTRODUCTION

Total hip replacement is one of the most commonly performed adult reconstructive procedures that provides pain relief, functional improvement and improved quality of life for thousands of patients each year worldwide (12). The accuracy of implantation of total hip replacement (THR) components is fundamental to the function, stability and longevity of the implants (2,14,15). Whilst the components are inserted as accurately as possible with reference to anatomical landmarks and various intra-operative guides, their position is subject to variation and surgical error (3,4).

Total hip arthroplasty is performed with the patient in a supine or lateral decubitus position. When performed with the patient in the lateral position the pelvis can rotate, making positioning of the acetabular component more difficult (9), with some studies citing a tendency to position the cup in less than ideal anteversion (10) and a more abducted or open position (15). Some surgeons believe that obese patients are more likely to be positioned incorrectly on the operating table and therefore the accuracy of implantation of their acetabular components is subjected to greater error.

The purpose of present study is to determine if obesity affects cup anteversion and abduction angle in THR.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Between 1996 and 2005, 201 total hip replacements using the ZCA® cemented acetabular cup (Zimmer, Warsaw, USA) were reviewed retrospectively. All postoperative radiographs of 111 patients who had undergone cemented total hip replacement performed or supervised by a single surgeon were analysed. All surgeries were performed via an anterolateral approach with patients in the lateral position. Patients were stratified according to Body Mass Index (BMI) into three groups (healthy, overweight and obese) and acetabular cup angles were measured.

Mean values for cup abduction angle were similar for all three groups (44.5°, 46.8°, 44.0° respectively, p = 0.335). Furthermore there were no differences in mean cup anteversion between groups (11.6°, 12.2° and 10.7° respectively, p = 0.665). We conclude that obesity does not necessarily influence the accuracy of cup positioning in total hip replacement.
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surgeries were performed or supervised by a single surgeon. All cases were performed with the patient in the lateral position via an anterolateral approach and using the same bone cement (Palacos®). Of these patients 111 were considered to have sufficiently good quality anteroposterior pelvic or hip postoperative radiographs available for analysis of cup anteversion, and 72 of these 111 patients had anteroposterior pelvic radiographs for measurement of cup abduction. The abduction angle of the acetabular cup is the angle between a horizontal reference line joining the inferior teardrop points or the inferior pubic rami or the distal edges of the sacroiliac joints and the axis of opening of the acetabular cup. On the radiographs of one hip joint the reference line could not be drawn and the abduction angle could not be measured.

All radiographs were scanned to provide high definition TIFF images and the abduction and anteversion angles were measured using MATLAB® software (The MathWorks, Inc., USA). Cup anteversion angles were measured on either an AP radiograph centered on the hip (111 cases) or on an AP pelvic film (72 cases). An ellipse of best fit was plotted onto the radio opaque wire marker of the acetabular cup and the anteversion angle was then calculated using the software (5,6,7). A correction factor of 4° was added for anteversion angles measured when pelvic radiographs centered on the pubis symphysis were used, as described by Widmer (13). For the cases with pelvic radiographs, the abduction angle was calculated by plotting a horizontal reference line between the most inferior point of the acetabular teardrops. The cup inclination or abduction angle was then calculated as the angle between a line through the long axis of the ellipse and the horizontal reference line (fig 1).

Patients were stratified into groups for Body Mass Index according to the World Health Organisation Classification of obesity (11). All measurements of BMI were taken less than two weeks pre-operatively. Patients were categorised as: healthy weight (BMI 18.5-24.9), overweight (BMI 25.0-29.9), grade I obese (BMI 30.0-34.9), grade II obese (BMI 35.0-39.9) or grade III obese (BMI ≥40.0). The numbers of patients who were grade II or grade III obese were small and considered too small for statistical analysis; therefore they were grouped with the grade one obese group leaving three study groups – healthy (BMI 18.5-24.9), overweight (BMI 25.0-29.9) and obese (BMI ≥30.0).

Of the 111 patients analysed, 39 were in the healthy weight category, 49 were overweight and 23 were obese. (16 grade I obese, 6 grade II obese and 1 grade III obese)

Of the 72 patients who had AP pelvic radiographs to determine the cup abduction angle, 26 were in the healthy weight category, 30 were overweight and 16 were obese (9 grade I obese, 6 grade II obese and 1 grade III obese).

A power calculation was performed which calculated that 7 patients were required in each group to detect a difference of 10° based on a power of 95% and a probability of 0.05 of a Type I error

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS® (version 13). Differences in mean cup abduction and anteversion angles between groups were sought using analysis of variance (ANOVA) with the Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons. The significance level was set at 0.05.

**RESULTS**

Characteristics of the study groups are outlined in table I.

For all 111 patients in the study (table II) the mean cup anteversion angle was 11.7 ± 6.7° (range 1.8° to 34.2°) For the 72 patients who had pelvic radiographs centered on the symphysis, the mean cup abduction angle was 45.4 ± 7.2° (range 27.1° to 66.0°).

Neither the cup abduction angle nor the anteversion angle was influenced by BMI (table II). Mean cup anteversion angle was 11.6° for the healthy group, 12.2° for the overweight group and 10.7° for
the obese group (p = 0.665). Mean cup abduction angle was 44.5° for the healthy group, 46.8° for the overweight group and 44° for the obese group (p = 0.335).

**DISCUSSION**

The accuracy of implantation of components in total hip replacement is paramount to the success of the procedure. The orientation of the acetabular component affects the longevity of the prosthesis, wear of the bearing surfaces and dislocation rate (2,15). Ideally the acetabular component should be implanted with an inclination angle of 35-45° and an anteversion angle of 0-15° (14). Acetabular cups are implanted with the aid of intra-operative alignment guides and the accuracy of implantation depends on the proper positioning of the patient and anatomical landmarks. The pelvis rotates intraoperatively with retraction of soft tissues and femur (9). It has been postulated that in obese patients proper positioning of the patient upon the operation table is difficult and more retraction of soft tissues and the femur is required for visualisation of the acetabulum.

The aim of the present study was to determine if the accuracy of implantation of a cemented acetabular component in total hip replacement is influenced by obesity. This study suggests that obesity has no effect on the accuracy of acetabular component implantation in total hip replacement.

All 111 patients in the present study were operated by or under direct supervision of a single surgeon, in the lateral position through an anterolateral approach to the hip joint. The same acetabular component and bone cement were used in all the patients. A single observer took all the observations. The observer was blinded to the specific features of the patients while measuring the angles from the radiographs using software.

The present study demonstrates that the grade of obesity of our patients did not affect positioning of the acetabular component and bone cement were used in all the patients. A single observer took all the observations. The observer was blinded to the specific features of the patients while measuring the angles from the radiographs using software.

This study has not addressed whether higher grades of obesity (BMI = > 40) affect the accuracy of cup implantation. This could be an area for further work.
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