Arthroscopic resection of a symptomatic snapping subscapular osteochondroma
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INTRODUCTION

An anatomical cause is rarely found in patients with painful crepitus of the scapula. However in rare cases bony abnormalities such as osteochondromata, os omovertebrale in Sprengel’s deformity, rib or scapula fracture malunion, or excessive angulation of the scapula, can be found. Conservative treatment is initiated but if this fails, or if a clear anatomical cause is found, resection of the lesion is indicated. Surgery has traditionally been performed through an open technique but arthroscopic techniques have also been described. We describe a case of painful snapping of the scapula caused by an osteochondroma treated with arthroscopic resection.

CASE REPORT

A 28-year-old female presented to our department with painful crepitus of the left scapula. She did not recall any traumatic incident to the shoulder. The symptoms had been present for 24 months. Conservative treatment to this point had consisted of physiotherapy, anti-inflammatory drugs and corticosteroid infiltrations. This had a temporary effect but symptoms progressively worsened.
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Clinical examination of the shoulder showed pseudo-winging of the scapula, indicative of a space-occupying lesion in the subscapular space. There was no clear atrophy of shoulder musculature. The shoulder had a full range of motion and normal stability. Scapulohumeral rhythm was disturbed due to pain as the patient guarded the scapulohumeral joint during elevation as a palpable and audible crepitus was observed. Palpation of the inferomedial corner of the scapula was tender. Standard radiographs showed an osteochondroma. A computed tomography scan confirmed the diagnosis.

It was decided to perform an arthroscopic resection of the lesion. The patient was placed in lateral decubitus with the arm in traction (4 kg) in 30° of abduction. A first portal was created 5 cm medial to the medial margin of the scapula, inferior to the scapular spine, halfway between the superomedial and inferior corner of the scapula. A second working portal was made 5 cm medial to the inferior corner of the scapula. Arthroscopic inspection showed the conflict between the osteochondroma and the thoracic cage (fig 2). Inflamed surrounding soft tissues were removed using a soft tissue shaver, and a burr was used to resect the osteochondroma. Postoperatively, the arm was placed in a sling for comfort and the patient was encouraged to progressively mobilise the arm under supervision of a physiotherapist. The patient noted no further clicking and had regained full pain free range of motion at 2 weeks follow-up.

DISCUSSION

It is important to differentiate between idiopathic cases and patients with an anatomic cause of painful crepitus of the scapula. A clear history including trauma, occupation, hobbies and sports should be obtained, as trauma (6, 9) and overuse have both been identified as causes of snapping scapula syndrome (6). Winging of the scapula could indicate a long thoracic nerve paralysis (10). As was noted in this case, pseudo-winging can be indica-
tive of a subscapular space occupying lesion such as an osteochondroma (2, 8). Imaging studies however, remain imperative to accurately diagnose anatomical causes of snapping scapula syndrome.

The differentiation between anatomical causes or idiopathic cases is important as conservative treatment is less likely to be successful if a clear anatomical cause can be found.

Surgical intervention is the only reliable treatment of a symptomatic subscapular osteochondroma and previous reports described an open technique (8). However, we performed an arthroscopic resection of the osteochondroma, leaving the scapula intact, resulting in a painless normal function of the scapulothoracic joint.

Advantages of arthroscopic resection of the osteochondroma include tailored resection of the lesion, quicker recovery and low complication rate (6). Disadvantages include the technical difficulty of an arthroscopy in the scapulothoracic joint, where there are limited bony landmarks for accurate orientation of the instruments and the potential of damage to the accessory and dorsal scapular nerves. However, no neurological lesions have been described following scapulothoracic arthroscopy. Ruland et al (7) recommended arthroscopic portals to be placed inferior to the scapular spine and three to four finger-breadths medial to the scapula to avoid neurovascular damage. Ruland’s viewing portal was used in the case described as well as an inferior, lesion specific, portal that has not been described before.

We experienced no technical problems and full recovery was achieved within two weeks. Low morbidity and the possibility of an adequate resection of the osteochondroma make arthroscopic resection the treatment of choice in similar cases.
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