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Osteitis pubis is a noninfective inflammation of the symphysis pubis, without distinct aetiology. It has often been reported after urological or gynaecological procedures, and is also associated with trauma, rheumatic disorders, pregnancy and parturition. Symptoms mostly resolve spontaneously. On the other hand, osteomyelitis of the pubis is a classical infective inflammation of bone. The differential diagnosis between both entities may be difficult. The most common complaint in both inflammatory diseases is pain under load, either local or pseudoradicular in nature. The biochemistry is normal or slightly inflammatory in osteitis pubis, but frankly inflammatory in osteomyelitis. A 3-phase bone scan may be positive in the mineralisation or delayed phase in case of osteitis, and in all three phases in case of osteomyelitis. Aspiration is the ultimate diagnostic test: in case of osteomyelitis pubis, culture of the aspirate will usually lead to the diagnosis, sometimes even after antibiotic therapy.
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INTRODUCTION

The symphysis pubis is an amphiarthrodial joint, allowing for minimal mobility as the opposing surfaces of bone are connected by fibrocartilage. It is non-synovial and is situated at the confluence of the two pubic bones (12). Inflammation of the symphysis can either be non-infectious or infectious.

Osteitis pubis, the noninfectious variant, was first described by Beer in 1924 (2). Osteomyelitis pubis, the infectious variant (17, 41), was described later on under various names such as infectious osteitis pubis (15), pubic osteomyelitis, and septic arthritis of the symphysis pubis (32).

Several aetiological factors have been described, for osteitis pubis as well as for osteomyelitis pubis: low grade infection (13), direct trauma (23), athletic exertion (5, 6, 11, 18, 25, 27-29), pregnancy, spontaneous origin (10), urological or gynaecological manipulation (40), cardiac catheterisation (21), surgery, and impaired venous circulation in the pubic veins (8, 9, 16, 35, 36).

Both entities start with pain in the groin. The diagnosis is often delayed: coxarthrosis, inguinal hernia or spasms of the adductor muscles are
wrongly suspected (29, 30). If some inflammation is present, a trial antibiotic treatment is sometimes given without any specific diagnosis. Even under such conditions, an aspiration may still allow bacterial growth, in case of osteomyelitis.

There is a frequent delay of several weeks or months before the correct diagnosis is finally made (1, 30).

CASE REPORTS

Between February 1998 and December 2003 two patients with osteitis pubis and 7 with osteomyelitis pubis were seen in our institution (table I). The male/female ratio was 1/1 and 4/3, respectively. The average age was 46.5 years in the first group (range : 44 to 49) and 57.7 years in the second group (range : 19 to 78).

Both patients with osteitis pubis were known as suffering from rheumatoid arthritis since years; they had been treated with corticosteroids. In one of them excessive daily athletic exercise may have played a role. The diagnosis was made after 4 weeks in one case, after 10 weeks in the other. The average C-reactive protein level (table I) was 3.35 mg/l (range : 0.3 to 6.4). The average white blood-cell count (table II) was 10,800/mm³ (range : 9,900 to 11,700). The average erythrocyte sedimentation rate was 37 mm after the first hour (range : 20 to 54). Aspiration was performed in both cases, as the diagnosis was uncertain, but yielded no bacterial growth. A biopsy was not done. The diagnosis of osteitis pubis was accepted, as cultures remained sterile, no osseous destruction was demonstrated (fig 5), and there was only a mild CRP elevation. Conservative treatment was decided. The condition of the two patients improved after an average period of 5 weeks (range : 4 to 6).

In the 7 patients with osteomyelitis pubis, a possible association was found with previous urological surgery in 3 and with pregnancy, athletic exertion, tooth abscess and diabetes mellitus in one each. The diagnosis was made after an average delay of 17 weeks (range : 1 to 52). The average C-reactive protein level was 17.0 mg/l (range : 13.9 to

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>no</th>
<th>gender, age</th>
<th>osteitis = o osteo-myelitis = om</th>
<th>assoc. factors</th>
<th>duration before diagnosis (weeks)</th>
<th>CRP</th>
<th>pathogen</th>
<th>source of pathogen</th>
<th>surg. treatment</th>
<th>med. treatment</th>
<th>improv. after …weeks</th>
<th>fig</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>f 44</td>
<td>om</td>
<td>pregnancy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>Staph. aureus</td>
<td>biopsy</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>antibiotics</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>m19</td>
<td>om</td>
<td>athletics</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>Staph. aureus</td>
<td>biopsy</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>antibiotics</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>m36</td>
<td>om</td>
<td>tooth abscess</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>Staph. aureus</td>
<td>puncture</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>antibiotics</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>m78</td>
<td>om</td>
<td>prostate cancer</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>biopsy</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>antibiotics</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>m49</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>rheumatic disease</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>puncture</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>antibiotics</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>f72</td>
<td>om</td>
<td>vesico-urethral suspension</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>Staph. aureus</td>
<td>biopsy</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>antibiotics</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>m78</td>
<td>om</td>
<td>prostate cancer</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>Pseud. aeruginosa</td>
<td>puncture</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>antibiotics</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>f77</td>
<td>om</td>
<td>diabetes</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>Staph. aureus</td>
<td>puncture</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>antibiotics</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>f44</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>soccer, urinary inf., rheumatic disease</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>puncture</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>NSAID</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table I. — Current series (n = 9) (osteitis pubis cases in bold letters)
The average white blood-cell count (table II) was 12,150/mm³ (range: 6,900 to 17,700), slightly more than in the osteitis group. The average erythrocyte sedimentation rate was 53 mm after the first hour (range: 10 to 93), slightly higher than in the osteitis group. Aspiration was performed in 3 patients, biopsy in 4. Bacterial growth was obtained in 6 of the 7 cases. The main causative organism was *Staphylococcus aureus*, isolated in 5 patients. In one patient *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* was found. In one patient (case 4) the cultures remained negative, probably because previous antibiotic treatment had masked the infection; the diagnosis was made later on, when fistulisation occurred. A flaw of this study is the fact that 7 out of 9 patients had received antibiotic treatment for one to 28 days before admission to the hospital, without a specific diagnosis. Following surgical curettage, lavage and specific postoperative anti-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WBC x 1000</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESR</td>
<td>10/34</td>
<td>43/86</td>
<td>50/76</td>
<td>41/72</td>
<td>20/58</td>
<td>93/∞</td>
<td>61/82</td>
<td>77/∞</td>
<td>54/79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Fig. 1a.* — Case 1. Osteomyelitis pubis in a 44-year-old pregnant woman. Coronal MRI (T2-weighted inversion-recovery-sequence) shows an abscess in the symphysis pubis. Note head of the fetus.

*Fig. 1b.* — Case 1. Osteomyelitis pubis. Transverse MRI, 3 months postoperatively, reveals no more signs of an abscess.
biotic therapy, a rapid improvement manifested in all 7 osteomyelitis patients. After an average of 21 days (range: 11 to 38) the C-reactive protein level was normalised (< 0.5 mg/l). Clinical improvement was obtained after an average of 11.7 weeks (range: 4 to 20).

DISCUSSION

Aetiology

The aetiology of osteitis pubis, or non-infective inflammation of the pubis, is unknown. It is often associated with rheumatic disease, exertion (31), pregnancy, and urological or gynaecological manipulation or surgery (37, 38). The problem is that osteomyelitis pubis may also be associated with some of these factors. Moreover, systematic studies are rare (14, 19), as most publications dealing with osteitis pubis or osteomyelitis pubis are case reports or review studies.

The pathogenesis of osteomyelitis pubis is unclear. Most authors assume haematogenic dissemination following abdominal, urological or gynaecological surgery. Extension of a local infection would also be possible. However, attempts to produce osteomyelitis pubis in dogs by injecting a bacterial suspension into the symphyseal joint remained unsuccessful (4). Diabetics and heroin users have a higher risk to develop osteomyelitis pubis. There is always a causative organism, although it is often difficult to reveal. Nevertheless, we noted that, in all but one of our osteomyelitis cases, cultures revealed the causative organism, even when antibiotics had been administered.

Fig. 2a. — Case 2. Osteomyelitis pubis in a 19-year-old student physical education, after athletic exertion. Plain A-P radiograph of the pelvis shows osteomyelitis pubis with osseous destruction.

Figs. 2b-c. — Case 2. Osteomyelitis pubis. MRI of the pelvis: b (T1-weighted transverse), c (T2-weighted coronal): osseous destruction, fluid in the symphysis e.g. pus.
previously. Aspiration with ultrasound guidance should be one of the first steps in the clinical approach.

One might feel that strenuous activity would lead to osteitis pubis, while surgical insult would be at the origin of osteomyelitis pubis, but this is not true. Combs (6), in 1998, reported bacterial osteomyelitis pubis in a weight lifter without invasive trauma, although one would expect an athlete would develop osteitis pubis rather than osteomyelitis. Even after surgery the ensuing condition may be either infective or non-infective. In other words, careful history taking and questioning do not automatically lead to the correct differential diagnosis. The same causative factors have been described for both affections. Osteitis/osteomyelitis pubis thus remains an enigma. As mentioned, Beneventi and Spellman (4) in 1953 reported an unsuccessful attempt to produce the osteomyelitis variant in the dog, by local injection of bacterial material into the public symphysis. The “key” for the right approach is to exclude the infectious form, osteomyelitis pubis, by means of aspiration. Only then can a rational specific therapy be initiated.

Clinical findings

As a rule, all patients suffering from osteitis or osteomyelitis pubis complain of suprapubic pain, either locally or radiating to one or both groins. They bend forwards when standing or walking, because of rectus or adductor muscle spasm. In the beginning the pain increases under load and decreases at rest, but later on it becomes permanent. In osteitis pubis the pain remains at a low level, but in osteomyelitis it is steadily increasing. The clinical setting is however similar for both conditions in the early stages.

Laboratory studies

C-reactive protein level, erythrocyte sedimentation rate and white blood cell count are either
normal or slightly elevated in osteitis pubis. They are usually elevated in osteomyelitis pubis. This was confirmed in the current study (table I and II). The rheumatoid factor and other inflammatory parameters should also be determined.\(^{33}\)

**Imaging**

Initially, plain radiographs are unremarkable in both conditions: they show varying degrees of sclerosis and rarefaction. After a while they become more typical. In osteitis pubis blurred bony contours are seen (fig 5). There is also spotty demineralisation which progresses until the symphyseal gap appears widened. Periostitis would be typical. Over months remineralisation occurs. The symphysis may even become obliterated. In contrast, in osteomyelitis pubis (figs 1-4), radiological changes begin in one ramus, while the other is affected later on. Subsequently, osseous destruction takes place.

Bone scintigraphy and MRI are more sensitive than plain radiographs, especially in the early stages. Three-phase bone scan can be helpful in the differential diagnosis of osteitis and osteomyelitis.\(^3\). Increased uptake in all three phases pleads for osteomyelitis pubis, while increased uptake in the mineralisation or delayed phase only is typical for osteitis pubis. In the very early stages of osteomyelitis pubis, the increased uptake may be limited to one side.

**Aspiration and needle biopsy**

In the authors’ opinion aggressive diagnostic investigations are necessary to exclude osteomyelitis. Needle biopsy is requested\(^{3, 22, 39}\) if the diagnosis remains unclear after non-invasive diagnostic investigations and laboratory examinations. An ultrasound guided Jamshidi needle biopsy of the symphyseal space and of the osseous parts is to be preferred. Tissue specimens are used for tissue culturing\(^7\), even when antibiotics have been administered, and for histological examination.

In osteitis pubis histological examination shows slight to moderate signs of inflammation. Plasma cells but also lymphocytes are found. In more severe cases polymorphonuclear leukocytes are seen, as well as local haemorrhage and ossification. In acute osteomyelitis pubis the histological features include granulation tissue, areas of necrosis (sequestra), exudates, lymphocytic infiltration and plasma cells. In chronic osteomyelitis pubis similar features are noted, but in addition decreased

---

Fig. 4a-b. — Case 6. Osteomyelitis pubis caused by *Staphylococcus aureus*. a: plain A-P radiograph preoperatively, b: postoperatively. Note: widened symphysis pubis preoperatively as a sign of abscess formation in the symphysis.
vascularity and sclerotic new bone formation can be observed.

**Treatment**

The treatment of osteitis pubis is symptomatic, as the disease is considered to be self-limiting, with symptoms disappearing over weeks or months. It consists of rest, hot packs, physiotherapy, and anti-inflammatory medication, or even steroids. Anticoagulant therapy has been described for the treatment of osteitis pubis (1, 20, 24). Wedge resection and simple resection of the symphysis have been advocated for resistant cases, but late pelvic instability may necessitate arthrodesis of pubis and sacroiliac joints (26).

The treatment of osteomyelitis pubis is based on the intravenous administration of antibiotics, according to an antibiogram. If the disease is progressive in spite of specific antibiotic therapy, surgical debridement with curettage and jet lavage is indicated. Antibiotic impregnated beads can be implanted. After surgery, rest and intravenous antibiotic therapy are continued until normalisation of CRP. Subsequently, mobilisation and oral antibiotic therapy are started until normalisation of ESR. Repeat imaging is useful for follow-up.
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